Symmetry breaking in networks of nonlinear cavities
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We demonstrate symmetry breaking in ring-like networks composed of three and four coupled nonlinear cavities such as photonic crystal resonators. With coupled mode theory we derive analytical conditions for the appearance of asymmetric states. The rich dynamical behavior is further demonstrated by time-domain calculations, which show a cyclical switching action that is useful for multi-stable all-optical flip-flops. © 2010 Optical Society of America
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1. INTRODUCTION

Structures with coupled nonlinear photonic cavities exhibit a rich and intricate dynamical behavior. This opens up a whole new range of applications such as photonic reservoir computing [1], slow light engineering [2], and all-optical flip-flop operation [3]. Therefore it is important to develop clear insights into the possible states and instabilities of progressively more complex designs. By now, networks of hundreds of coupled cavities have been studied experimentally in the linear regime [4] and the next logical step is to study the effects of the nonlinearities in smaller networks. Network motifs consisting of three or four nodes [5] already have a significant degree of complexity, and here our aim is to examine the nonlinear properties of such photonic cavity designs.

Symmetry breaking is a counterintuitive physical effect that describes the appearance of asymmetric states while the structure under study, and its excitation, is completely symmetric. In previous work [3,6], it was shown that two coupled nonlinear cavities can exhibit symmetry breaking: when equal power is injected on both sides of the coupled cavities, the reflected output power is different on both sides of the cavities due to nonlinear effects. The symmetry breaking would not be possible in a linear structure. In this paper we couple multiple passive cavities with a Kerr-based nonlinearity in a symmetric structure. In addition, the system is excited equally from all sides with a holding beam. We show that these symmetric setups result in different nonlinear regimes than the case of systems with two cavities, with various kinds of asymmetric states. Furthermore, using time-domain studies in the case of three and four coupled cavities, we demonstrate a multi-state flip-flop operation [7,8]. In these cases a cyclical switching action is obtained.

Using coupled-mode theory we derive analytical conditions for the symmetry breaking detuning requirements. Our description is quite general and therefore independent of the exact implementation. The system could be implemented with compact nonlinear photonic crystal cavities [9–11] or ring resonators [12]. Recently demonstrated hybrid material systems are also a promising solution [13].

In Section 2, we discuss the behavior of three cavities in a triangular configuration. Using coupled-mode theory we derive an analytical condition for symmetry breaking in this structure. Afterwards we look at the different asymmetric states under steady state conditions and we conclude by studying the dynamical behavior with the multi-state flip-flop operation. In Section 3, we do the same for a configuration of four cavities: after deriving an analytical condition for symmetry breaking and discussing the steady state behavior, we give insights into the switching between the asymmetric states.

2. THREE COUPLED CAVITIES

A. Symmetry Breaking Condition

We apply the coupled-mode theory on a symmetric structure consisting of three coupled nonlinear cavities as depicted in Fig. 1. The time dependence of the amplitude $a_i$ of the resonance modes of the cavities is given by [14,15]

$$\frac{da_1}{dt} = \frac{i(\omega_0 + \delta\omega_1) - 1}{\tau} a_1 + df_1 + db_4 + df_6, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} = \frac{i(\omega_0 + \delta\omega_2) - 1}{\tau} a_2 + df_2 + db_5 + df_4, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{da_3}{dt} = \frac{i(\omega_0 + \delta\omega_3) - 1}{\tau} a_3 + df_3 + db_6 + df_5. \quad (3)$$

Here $f_i$ and $b_i$ are the forward and backward propagating mode amplitudes in the waveguides. We assume the three cavities have the same resonant mode with center frequency $\omega_0$ and with at least a threefold symmetry (e.g.,
monopole) in order to have the same coupling \( d \) to the three waveguides. The nonlinear frequency shift due to the Kerr nonlinearity is given by

\[
\delta \omega_i = -\frac{|a_i|^2}{P_0 \tau^2},
\]

with \( P_0 \) as the characteristic nonlinear power of the cavity [14] and \( \tau \) as the lifetime of the cavity which can be related to the Q-factor as \( Q = \omega_0 \tau / 2 \). A formula for the coupling \( d \) between the waveguide modes and the cavity can be derived by applying energy conservation laws on the coupled-mode equations [15] and we find

\[
d = i \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\tau}} \exp\left(i \frac{\phi}{2}\right).
\]

Here \( \phi \) represents the phase depending on the waveguide length and the reflection properties. For high-Q cavities and small detunings, \( \phi \) will be quasi-independent of the frequency.

The amplitudes of the forward and backward propagating waves are coupled by [16]

\[
f_4 = \exp(i \phi) b_4 + da_1,
\]

\[
b_4 = \exp(i \phi) f_4 + da_2.
\]

Similar equations hold for the other waveguides.

The analysis will be done in the frequency domain so \( d/dt \) will be replaced by \( i \omega \) (with \( \omega \) as the operating frequency). The forward and backward internal waveguide amplitudes can be eliminated in Eqs. (1)–(3) and we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{i(\omega_0 - \omega + \delta \omega_1)}{\tau} a_1 + \kappa(2 \gamma a_1 + a_2 + a_3) &= -df_1, \\
\frac{i(\omega_0 - \omega + \delta \omega_2)}{\tau} a_2 + \kappa(2 \gamma a_2 + a_1 + a_3) &= -df_2,
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \gamma = \exp(i \phi) \) and \( \kappa = d^2/(1 - \gamma^2) \). In our further analysis, we will use dimensionless cavity energies \( A = -|a_1|^2/P_0 \tau \), \( B = -|a_2|^2/P_0 \tau \), and \( C = -|a_3|^2/P_0 \tau \), and a dimensionless detuning \( \Delta = \pi(\omega_0 - \omega) \). This detuning \( \Delta \) can be expressed also in terms of the linewidth \( \delta \Omega \) of the cavity mode as \( \Delta = 2(\omega_0 - \omega)/\delta \Omega \). To examine the effect of symmetry breaking we assume equal input powers and phases from all sides (i.e., \( f_1 = f_2 = f_3 \)). The elimination of \( f_1 \) in the above equations gives

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[-\frac{1}{3} + i(\Delta' + A)\right] a_1 &= \left[-\frac{1}{3} + i(\Delta' + B)\right] a_2 \\
&= \left[-\frac{1}{3} + i(\Delta' + C)\right] a_3,
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\Delta' = \Delta - \frac{2 \cos(\phi) - 1}{3 \sin(\phi)}.
\]

We take the modulus squared of Eq. (11) and after factoring we get

\[
(A - B) \left(B^2 + (A + 2\Delta') B + A^2 + 2\Delta'A + \Delta'^2 + \frac{1}{9}\right) = 0.
\]

A similar equation holds for the relation between \( A \) and \( C \).

Apart from the symmetric solutions derived from the first factor \( (A = B = C) \), there is also the possibility of an asymmetric solution (second factor) if the detuning \( \Delta' \) is chosen correctly and if the solution is stable. The factor of the asymmetric solution can be seen as a quadratic equation in \( B \) for which the discriminant has to be positive for the existence of real solutions:

\[
-3A^2 - 4\Delta'A - \frac{4}{9} > 0.
\]

This condition is fulfilled if \( A \) lies between the values

\[
\frac{-2\Delta'}{3} \leq \pm \frac{2\sqrt{9\Delta'^2 - 3}}{9}.
\]

Thus the asymmetric solution exists if \( |\Delta'| > 1/\sqrt{3} \). In case of a self-focusing Kerr effect (positive nonlinearity), \( A \) is negative and therefore the condition for symmetry breaking is

\[
\Delta' > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.
\]

B. Static Solutions

By solving the coupled-mode equations under steady state conditions, we can find the static solutions as a function of
the input power. In addition, a stability analysis needs to be performed to determine which of the possible states are stable and thus excitatory in experiments.

The linear stability analysis is done by evaluating the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix for the obtained states. Therefore we rewrite Eqs. (1)–(3) into six ordinary differential equations (ODE’s) where the phase and amplitude are considered separately. The elements of the Jacobian matrix are obtained by taking the derivatives of these equations to each of the variables (amplitude and phase of \( a_i \)). After evaluating this 6×6 Jacobian matrix in the possible solutions, we can determine the corresponding eigenvalues. If the real part of all these eigenvalues is negative, the system will move into the direction of the equilibrium point.

The stable output powers are depicted as a function of the input power in two different configurations where the condition for symmetry breaking [Eq. (17)] is fulfilled (Fig. 2). One can clearly observe that besides the symmetric solution (all output powers the same and equal to \( P_{in} \)), asymmetric solutions show up for a certain range of input powers (regions I, II, and III). With increasing input power, we uncover a distinctive progression through three possible symmetry breaking regimes. In region I of Fig. 2(a), we distinguish solutions where two out of three output powers are equal and have a higher value than the third output which is low. By increasing the input power, the two equal outputs split up (region II) and the symmetry breaking in the system is complete: all three output powers are different. This state then transforms to region III where two low output powers are equal and the third output is high. When we change the phase \( \phi \), we find the bifurcation depicted in Fig. 2(b) where the same states appear but in a different order. The parameters of the two examples are chosen in order to show the three possible regimes in a single example, but were not optimized for possible other conditions. Higher values for the detuning \( \Delta \) seem to increase the extinction ratio but also move the asymmetric regime to higher input powers. However, we did not perform an extensive analysis on this matter.

To have more insight into the symmetric solution of Fig. 2(a), we depict the energy of the resonant modes in the cavities as a function of the input power (Fig. 3). It appears that the symmetric solution itself exhibits also a bifurcation structure. Despite this bifurcation in the cavity energies of the symmetric solutions, this asymmetry does not show up in the output powers of Fig. 2 because the two branches have equal output powers (cf. conservation of energy), but a different phase. In the lower branch, the symmetric solution becomes unstable for certain input powers and in that range the asymmetric solutions are possible. This means that we can avoid the asymmetric solutions of the upper branch if we stay below the input power threshold of \( P_{in}=4.5P_0 \). For the second case (with \( \phi=2.0 \)), this bistability in the symmetric solution does not show up and we find that only stable asymmetric solutions appear in the region of symmetry breaking.

To see the influence of the parameters \( \Delta \) and \( \phi \), we depict the appearance of the different states in Fig. 4 for a constant input power of 3.0\( P_0 \). We can clearly observe the same three regions as described before.

C. Dynamic Behavior
We can study the dynamical behavior by solving Eqs. (1)–(3) in the time domain. In the third regime of Fig. 2(a), it is possible to switch between asymmetric states where one of the outputs is high and the other two outputs are low. This results in a multi-stable flip-flop operation [7,8]. When a short pulse is applied to two of the three ports, the system will evolve to a state where the third output port has the high output power. In Fig. 5, the switching is done between the three possible output states. The time is expressed in units of the characteristic
input power is increased in two of the three input ports to injected in the three cavities. To achieve switching, this input power is increased in two of the three input ports to 3.5\(P_0\) during a time 30\(\tau\).

We do the same for the bifurcation diagram of Fig. 2(b). We work in the same regime as before and find that by injecting a single pulse in one of the output ports, the system switches to a state where that output is high and the other outputs are low. This is demonstrated for an input power of 0.5\(P_0\) which is increased to 1.2\(P_0\) in case of a pulse [Fig. 5(b)]. We observed robust switching behavior: small variations on the input power do not cause switching and a variation of 30% on the values of \(\Delta\) of the different cavities is possible when using higher pulse powers.

The switching times scale with the \(Q\)-factor of the cavity. If we assume the cavity has a \(Q\)-factor of 4000, the switching time can be predicted to be 520 ps. This rather slow switching speed is due to the fact that the system has to travel over a large distance in phase space. It can be reduced by also adjusting the phase of the injected pulses, as demonstrated for a single cavity in [17]. The energy needed to enter the bistable regime is proportional to the Kerr nonlinearity and becomes lower if the mode has a small volume. In literature, we find typically a value of 2.6 mW for photonic crystal cavities [14]. In silicon ring resonators with a \(Q\)-factor of 14,000 an operational value of about 6 mW is necessary [12]. Two possible suggestions for a practical implementation of the proposed scheme are depicted in Fig. 6 as an illustration: the first using a photonic crystal cavity with a hexagonal symmetry in the cavity mode and the other consisting of ring resonators coupled to waveguides.

3. FOUR COUPLED CAVITIES

A. Symmetry Breaking Conditions

The analysis for the symmetric structure of four coupled cavities (Fig. 7) is similar to the previous one. The time dependence of the resonant modes of the cavities is now

\[
\frac{da_1}{dt} = i(\omega_0 + \delta \omega_1) - \frac{1}{\tau} a_1 + df_1 + db_5 + df_8, \quad (18)
\]

\[
\frac{da_2}{dt} = i(\omega_0 + \delta \omega_2) - \frac{1}{\tau} a_2 + df_2 + db_6 + df_5, \quad (19)
\]

\[
\frac{da_3}{dt} = i(\omega_0 + \delta \omega_3) - \frac{1}{\tau} a_3 + df_3 + db_7 + df_6, \quad (20)
\]

\[
\frac{da_4}{dt} = i(\omega_0 + \delta \omega_4) - \frac{1}{\tau} a_4 + df_4 + db_8 + df_7. \quad (21)
\]

By using the same definitions as before and Eqs. (6) and (7), we rewrite these in the following form:

![Fig. 4. (Color online) The different working regimes for different parameters of \(\Delta\) and \(\phi\) with a constant input power of \(P_{\text{in}}/P_0=3.0\). Region I: two equal solutions in the upper branch and one in the lower branch (bounded by the brown curve); region II: three different outputs (bounded by the blue curve); region III: two equal solutions in the lower branch and one in the higher branch (bounded by the orange curve). The cross indicates the working point of Fig. 2(a).](image)

![Fig. 5. (Color online) Switching between the three different states of region III.](image)
To find a condition for symmetry breaking, it is assumed that all inputs are equal ($f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4$). By combining the first and the third equation, we obtain an equation similar to Eq. (12):

$$\left[ i(0 + \omega_0 + \delta \omega_1) - \frac{1}{\tau} \right] a_1 + \kappa (2 \gamma a_1 + a_2 + a_4) = -d f_1,$$

(22)

$$\left[ i(0 + \omega_0 + \delta \omega_2) - \frac{1}{\tau} \right] a_2 + \kappa (2 \gamma a_2 + a_1 + a_3) = -d f_2,$$

(23)

$$\left[ i(0 + \omega_0 + \delta \omega_3) - \frac{1}{\tau} \right] a_3 + \kappa (2 \gamma a_3 + a_4 + a_2) = -d f_3,$$

(24)

$$\left[ i(0 + \omega_0 + \delta \omega_4) - \frac{1}{\tau} \right] a_4 + \kappa (2 \gamma a_4 + a_3 + a_1) = -d f_4.$$

(25)

The same relation can be derived for $B$ and $D$ when combining the second and the fourth equation. When we apply the same reasoning as in the previous case of three coupled cavities, we find the following condition for symmetry breaking with a self-focusing Kerr effect (positive nonlinearity):

$$\Delta'' = \Delta - \frac{2}{3} \cot \phi.$$

(27)

The dark regions in Fig. 8 indicate where the symmetry breaking condition is fulfilled; the light regions correspond to solutions where the symmetry breaking condition holds.

In Fig. 8 the conditions for three and four coupled cavities are depicted graphically as a function of $\Delta$ and $\phi$.

**B. Static Solutions**

We can solve the coupled-mode equations again under steady state conditions and perform a stability analysis which takes now a Jacobian matrix of 64 elements to be evaluated at each point. We can depict the stable output powers as a function of the input power for a configuration where the symmetry breaking condition is fulfilled; see Fig. 9. In this configuration, there are two different asymmetric solutions. The first one to show up has a left-right symmetry with two pairs of equal output powers (e.g., $A=B$ and $C=D$). In the next solution, two opposing cavities have the same output power and the other two...
outputs are, respectively, higher and lower (e.g., $A=C$ and $B=C \neq A \neq D$). By increasing the detuning a wide range of other states can be found resulting in very complex state diagrams. When analyzing the energy in the cavities, we observe a similar behavior as in Fig. 3 where we have a bifurcation in the symmetric solution which becomes unstable in the lower branch.

**C. Dynamic Behavior**

As demonstrated in Fig. 10, we can again switch between the different states by injecting pulses. We describe in more detail the solution with two pairs of equal outputs. By injecting a short pulse in a port with a high output, that output becomes low and the port at the opposite side will become high. We inject the pulses by increasing the input power from $1.2P_0$ until $1.4P_0$ during a period of $5\pi$. A cyclical switching action ensues: the state rotates as a result of the switching pulse.

**4. CONCLUSION**

We demonstrated analytically and numerically symmetry breaking in structures composed of three and four cavities. An intricate bifurcation behavior with different regimes is uncovered and dynamical studies demonstrate a multi-stable and cyclical flip-flop operation. With hundreds of coupled cavities currently being studied experimentally in the linear regime [4], nonlinear dynamics in smaller networks is the logical next step.
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