Tunable 4-Channel Ultra-Dense WDM Demultiplexer with III-V Photodiodes Integrated on Silicon-on-Insulator
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Abstract: A tunable 4-channel ultra-dense WDM demultiplexer with 0.25nm channel spacing is demonstrated with III-V photodiodes integrated on Silicon-on-Insulator using rib waveguides. A possible application is an in-band label extractor for all-optical packet switching.

OCIS codes: (130.7408) Wavelength filtering devices (250.5300) Photonic integrated circuits

1. Introduction
While Silicon-on-Insulator (SOI) is gaining popularity as a substrate for photonic ICs, for its dense integration possibilities, low-loss waveguides and its high-yield high-volume CMOS compatibility, it is still out-performed by III-V semiconductor material for active functionalities such as gain and absorption. For absorption, one can choose for a CMOS compatible monolithically grown germanium but this has typically a higher dark current then III-V due to the slight lattice mismatch between germanium and silicon. An alternative way is to heterogeneously integrate high-quality III-V material on top of SOI by means of molecular or adhesive bonding. We have developed a low-temperature die-to-wafer bonding process with divinyldisiloxane benzocyclobutene (DVS-BCB) as the bonding layer [1]. The fabrication process consists of bonding unprocessed III-V dies (with the epitaxial layers down) onto a processed SOI substrate, removal of the III-V substrate, and subsequent wafer-scale processing for the III-V devices with lithographical alignment accuracy to the underlying SOI structures. This approach has resulted in many active applications ranging from lasers [2], amplifiers [3] and detectors [4] which uses the underlying SOI for compact routing or filter applications.

Following the same approach we developed a 4-channel demultiplexer aiming for a channel spacing of 0.1nm or 12.5GHz which is usually referred to as the ultra-dense wavelength division multiplexing (UDWDM) grid. In this case the demultiplexer is designed as a label extractor for all-optical packet switching [5] where one wants to filter low-speed in-band addresses from a high-speed (160Gbit/s) data signal. This in-band addresses or labels can then be used to decide how to route the incoming data all-optically into the right channel by e.g. converting it to another wavelength [6]. The labels are extracted from the incoming signal using high-quality factor (Q) add-drop microring resonators and detected afterwards by photodetectors. To extract the in-band label effectively and not distort the incoming data, the filter bandwidth needs to be ultra narrow band and a free spectral range (FSR) which is large enough, e.g. a FSR=5nm for a 160Gbit/s modulated signal. This requirement is reached if one uses a microring resonator with a radius of approximately 20µm. Due to the high Q-factor, the filter acts as a low-pass filter such that any remaining higher frequencies of the modulated signal are filtered out [5].

With standard single mode waveguides (450nm wide x 220nm high), one cannot use the quasi-TE mode since back-scattering originating from the large field overlap with the vertical sidewall roughness will add up coherently in these high-Q filters. This wavelength dependent backscattering induces serious resonance-splitting and will consequently result in filters with an unacceptable high and varying insertion loss [7]. When one is not restricted to small bends (e.g. a microring resonator with a large FSR needs to have a small circumference) one can use two approaches with a smaller field overlap with the vertical sidewall roughness. However, due to the lower confinement bending losses are more important than scattering loss, so these approaches need in general larger bending radii to still enable high-Q microring resonators. A first candidate is the lower confined quasi-TM mode using fully etched strip waveguide (TM-strip) which has been used to demonstrate an improved Q-factor in comparison with the quasi-TE mode [7]. The second alternative is to use the quasi-TE mode in a partially etched rib waveguide (TE-rib) to lower the overlap with vertical sidewall roughness, which has been proven to enable high-Q all-pass filters [8]. In this paper we investigate both approaches in a 4-channel UDWDM demultiplexer configuration.

2. Design and simulation results
The TM-strip approach requires a waveguide dimension of height 220nm and width 500nm and the microring is designed to have a gap between the bus and ring waveguide of 900nm and a radius of 23µm. For the TE-rib approach the waveguide is defined using a partially etch process of 70nm, the height of the rib is 220nm and the width is 600nm. The coupling length of the racetrack microring is 4µm and the radius is 24µm. The gap between bus
and ring waveguide is 550nm. All the ports of the ring are tapered to strip waveguides to allow compact guiding of the light. Due to a limited fabrication precision both the quasi-TM strip mode as the quasi-TE rib mode suffer from random resonances shifts which can be in the order of 1nm. Therefore one needs to integrate a separate titanium (Ti) heater on top of each microring resonator to tune the resonances to a specific channel spacing with certain crosstalk.

The detector is designed for a maximized responsivity or in other words: efficient coupling and a minimized overlap with the non-contributive absorption of the p-metal contacts which is especially important when one uses the quasi-TM mode. For this reason the p-contact is split in two, such that the overlap is minimized. The height of the InGaAs absorption layer is optimized for quasi-phase matching between the fundamental SOI mode and the detector mode (second order vertical – fundamental horizontal mode) for both polarizations, resulting in an InGaAs height of 700nm (Fig. 1b). This layer is covered with a 100nm InP spacer layer to confine the optical detector mode and thus keeping it away from the top electrical contacts. The width of the SOI waveguide is varied between 1.1, 2 and 3µm, which is a trade-off between better phase matching and a faster coupling. The width needs to be wide enough to ensure primary coupling to the horizontal fundamental detector mode which has the lowest overlap with the p-metal contacts in comparison with horizontally higher-order detector modes. With a thin BCB layer of 50 to 100nm on top of the Si waveguide, all the light couples evanescently to the photodetector and gets fully absorbed by the InGaAs layer within respectively 10 to 30µm. To verify this absorption length, the detector length is varied between is 18 and 90µm long. The detectors to terminate the channels of the demultiplexer are 40µm long, have a 3µm p-metal spacing and a Si-waveguide width of 1.1µm. With this InGaAs height, the transit time bandwidth is estimated to be 34GHz and the capacitance (depleted regime) of a 40µm long detector will be 80fF.

![Fig. 1: (a) Schematic cross section of the photodiode heterogeneously integrated on the Si platform using an adhesive BCB bonding layer (b) Phase matching between the quasi-TM fundamental SOI mode and the III-V photodetector mode](image)

3. Fabrication

The UDWDM demultiplexer was fabricated on a 200-mm SOI wafer with 2-µm buried oxide and 220-nm top c-Si layer using a subset of processing modules from imec’s Silicon-Photonics Platform (iSiPP). Two silicon patterning steps were carried out in which respectively 70nm and 220nm of the c-Si layer were locally etched to define fiber-grating couplers as well as the ring filter and the access waveguides. The III-V photodetector is integrated on the Si platform using an adhesive bonding technique as mentioned in the introduction. Details can be found in [4,9]. To ensure ohmic contacts, the p-contact consist of a Ti(20nm)/Pt(40nm)/Au(100nm) deposited on a 50nm p¹-type doped InGaAs layer and n-contact of a AuGe(150nm)/Ni(60nm)+Ti(20nm)/Au(100nm) deposited on the bottom 50nm n-type doped InP layer. The heaters consist of Ti(120nm)/Au(10nm) deposited on a 1.5µm thick BCB layer to avoid optical absorption of the quasi-TM mode. An overview of a fully integrated device can be found in Fig. 2a.

![Fig. 2: (a) Microscopic picture of the 4-channel UDWDM demultiplexer (TE-rib), integrated Ti-heaters and III-V photodiodes (b) 10Gbit/s response of test photodiode of length 40µm (input 1mW, eye amp. = 14mV, bias = -3V, S/N ratio = 3.6).](image)

4. Measurement results

The detector was characterized for both polarizations as a standalone component and in a demultiplexer configuration. The responsivity of the detector is 1.06A/W (quasi-TM) and 1.23A/W (quasi-TE) respectively corresponding to an 85% and 98% efficiency for the 18µm long detector (the smallest of the range) with 3µm wide Si-waveguide and does not increase for longer photodiodes. If the Si-waveguide width is decreased to 1.1µm, the efficiency is slightly lower for the TE polarization (1.03A/W) due to coupling to higher order modes with a larger...
overlap with the non-contributive metal. The efficiency of the TM-polarized mode could be further increased by increasing the spacing between the p-contacts (e.g. to 5μm), but this will increase the capacitance of the photodiode. The dark current of the detector ranges between 3-5nA for a bias voltage of -3V. This bias is needed to work under high power illumination and/or at high speed, otherwise a zero bias is sufficient to reach the maximum responsivity. In Fig. 3, one can see the large signal response of a 10Gbit/s modulated signal. A detailed small-signal analysis is under way.

The TE-rib approach is characterized on a fully integrated chip (optical to electrical). The filters are tuned to a uniform 0.25nm grid with a -15dB XT. The on-chip efficiency is 0.4A/W and the external efficiency of the label extractor is 0.13A/W. The heater efficiency for the TE-rib ring resonators is 36pm/mW and for this device 9mW is needed to tune the heaters on the right grid. The effect of the high-Q low-pass filter in front of the photodetector is currently being investigated.

The TM-strip approach is optically characterized showing very good filter characteristics: a crosstalk on the 0.1nm UDWDM grid of -17dB (XT_{o,1}), 20dB bandwidth (BW) of 0.3nm and an insertion loss (IL) of only 3dB, which is shown in Fig. 3a. The heaters are performing inefficient (5.3pm/mW) because of the low confinement in the Si-waveguide and the thick BCB spacer layer. This is an important drawback of the TM-rib approach but could be resolved using alternative approaches for heater integration. The FSR of the filter (for both approaches) is 5nm.

![Fig. 3: The 4-channel demultiplexer (a) optical to optical response of the TM-strip approach (b) the optical-electrical TE-rib approach with channels tuned on a 0.25nm grid with -15dB XT](image)

### 5. Conclusion

An ultra-dense WDM demultiplexer with 0.1 and 0.25nm channel spacing is designed as a possible in-band label extractor for all-optical packet switching using the TM-polarization with strip waveguides and using the TE-polarization using rib waveguides. A full test employing this functionality is under investigation. The III-V photodetector is heterogeneously bonded on a SOI platform, and has a very good efficiency for both TM and TE polarization, respectively 1.05A/W and 1.25A/W and a very low dark current (<5nA for -3V). The efficiency for the TM-polarization could be further increased as explained in the paper. Large signal analysis showed a good performance at 10Gbit/s and a further small signal analysis, revealing the bandwidth, is under way. Further optimization of this photodetector design could make it also suitable for telecommunication applications in need of a polarization independent and fast photodetector, e.g. for dual-polarization QPSK applications.
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